

Summary of Testimony of

Peter Friedmann, Executive Director Agriculture Transportation Coalition

Before

Committee on Agriculture US House of Representatives

"Uncertainty, Inflation, Regulations: Challenges for American Agriculture"

February 28, 2023

The Agriculture Transportation was established in 1988 to provide a voice for a broad cross-section of U.S. agriculture exporters, importers requiring dependable, affordable ocean, rail and truck transportation services to maintain and grow foreign market share.

Maritime press declared the AgTC as "the principal voice of U.S. agriculture exporters in transportation policy." Members are primarily ag exporters and importers, also their forwarders, truckers, trade associations, state commodity commissions, Departments of Agriculture.

The AgTC annually conducts Ag Shipper Workshops around the country, culminating in the AgTC Annual Meeting - the nation's largest annual gathering of ag international transport stakeholders.

Outline of Comments:

- 1. We Cannot Take Global Demand for US Agriculture For Granted
- 2. Agriculture Export Supply Chain is Complex and Fragile Case Study
- 3. Role of the Federal Government Congress, Executive Branch and Agencies
 - a. US agriculture exporters brought Congress and the Administration together in a bipartisan way in 2022
 - b. There's More to Do to Improve the Ag Transport Supply Chain
 - c. Intl Shipments to Inland Rail Ramps Requires Federal Regulatory Clarity
 - d. Infrastructure
- 4. Conclusion
- 1. We Cannot Take Global Demand for US Agriculture For Granted

There is virtually nothing in US agriculture and forest products grown or produced in this country that cannot be sourced or substituted with products from elsewhere in the world; if we cannot deliver affordably and dependably, both our foreign and US customers can, and have proven they will, shift their purchases to those other countries, sometimes permanently. This has in fact occurred periodically, for pork, beef, cotton, almonds, soybeans, fresh fruit, etc.

2. Agriculture Export Supply Chain is Complex and Fragile – Case Study

The transportation of agriculture for international delivery is complex and delicate. Let's take, for example, cotton, or grain, or soybeans, or beef or pork, originating in the heartland. One can see how many independent components are required, and how delay or failure at any one point, will prevent the timely flow of agriculture exports:

- Truck to pick up empty ocean containers from a local or regional rail-ramp (Presuming the ocean carrier has directed the railroad to position those empty containers there sometimes requiring repositioning of empty containers hundreds or more miles from a seaport or inland location to that particular rail-ramp),
- Truck to the inland production or packing facility,
- Loaded, then trucked back to the rail ramps where they will wait which can be days or weeks, for a train.
- Loaded on railroad, then railed to the coastal seaport.

- Then access to the marine terminals at the seaports, either:
 - by on-dock rail, onto the marine terminal. Then, when ship arrives and is ready for loading, loaded on ship, which departs for foreign destination.
 - or container is offloaded from the train at a rail yard near the port, where it can sit for days or longer. When the ship arrives, a trucker finds a chassis (the trailer upon which the ocean contain sits when pulled by a truck), of the same brand as designated by the ocean carrier, which is brought to the rail yard; the container is loaded on that chassis, the trucker then seeks an appointment at the marine terminal to enter the gate (these appointments are often not available). Trucker brings to the terminal, can wait many hours or longer to enter. Then the container goes to the ground in the terminal, to await ship arrival and availability for loading.
 - Note: much agriculture travels from the heartland in bulk rail 'hopper cars', which arrive at nearport 'transhipment' facilities where the bulk commodity is transferred into an ocean container, then, as described above, loaded on a chassis, taken to the marine terminal, etc.
- Once loaded on ship it departs for foreign destination, where most of the process above must be repeated, in reverse.
- At any point in the supply chain described above, a delay can occur, which then creates a crisis that
 extends throughout the transportation plan, not only for the particular shipment, but for all shipments of
 all shippers.
- Refrigerated agriculture. If you think this is complex, think about all our agriculture that requires
 refrigeration. Special ocean containers with precisely controlled temperature frozen or chilled. Cold
 storage facilities near the inland rail ramps or truck depots, cold storage at or near the seaports. Reefer
 plugs on the marine terminals, and on the ships, and at the terminals at the foreign seaport
 destination...

3. Role of the Federal Government - Congress, Executive Branch and Agencies

There is a role for govt to assure adequate supply of transportation services. Federal and State policies and laws can and do either facilitate the flow of ag commerce, or in a number of states, hinder it.

a. US agriculture exporters brought Congress and the Administration together in a bipartisan way in 2022

Recently, Congress has been very active on ag transportation, last year passing one of the very few, if only major pieces of legislation to move through the US Senate unanimously and passing the House overwhelmingly three times – the Ocean Shipping Reform Act of 2022 (OSRA). While all importers and exporters benefit by OSRA, the primary sponsors were Congressmen and women, bipartisan, on behalf of their ag constituents – beef, pork, almonds, hay, lumber, cotton, etc.

There are significant requirements in OSRA designed to prevent or alleviate significant unreasonable practices by the ocean carriers which at times rendered US agriculture an undependable and unaffordable supplier to the world during the past few years of the COVID supply chain crisis.

The Federal Maritime Commission is responsible to assure compliance with the Ocean Shipping laws, and is now engaged in Rulemakings to implement OSRA.

b. There's More to Do to Improve the Ag Transport Supply Chain

More is needed to improve the transportation supply chain, for example, legislation has been introduced:

- to make truck weights reasonable,
- assure availability of truck drivers,
- provide reasonable hours of service (driver hours);

Congress and the White House must continuously monitor and engage as necessary to assure the labor-management disputes do not disrupt the supply chain.

Rail: This past fall both the White House intervened to prevent threatened national rail strikes; Congress was ready with legislation if needed.

Port Labor: Currently the West Coast port gateways are operating without a longshore labor contract in place, creating uncertainty, and causing shift of carriers and cargo from the West Coast ports to East and Gulf coast. Hopefully to be resolved in coming months.

c. Intl Shipments to Inland Rail Ramps Requires Federal Regulatory Clarity

Currently, for international ocean shipping movements that originate or end at inland locations, regulatory jurisdiction is unclear. Thus, when a shipper is treated unreasonably by the railroad of the ocean carrier for such an international shipment, does OSRA apply subject to the Federal Maritime Commission regulation, or does the Surface Transportation Board apply its own regulations? This uncertainty is currently preventing exporters and importers from gaining intervention and resolution of significant impediments to efficient freight movement in those inland locations.

d. Infrastructure

The supply chain crisis of the past few years exposed deficiencies in our infrastructure capacity. Ports nationwide were overwhelmed, as were communities in surrounding areas. Inland rail ramps and surrounding areas were also unable to handle the volume of trade that was entering and leaving the US. West Coast ports need enlargement of existing marine terminals, and building of new terminals, even construction of entire new deep-water ports. Some are now being proposed, seeking Federal infrastructure funding.

There must be continued expansion of **inland rail depots**, some relatively near the seaports, to relieve the pressure on the marine terminals at the seaports. There are many along the East Coast, but more will be effective. More are needed along the Gulf Coast. In comparison, the West Coast remains painfully and inexcusably lacking in inland rail load points to serve the overwhelmed West Coast seaports. They are desperately needed. It will require Class I railroads, short line railroads, state and local governments, port authorities and shippers to get these planned and built.

Road access is always needed, we hope the funding in the infrastructure bills will provide necessary expansion.

4. Conclusion

The Agriculture Transportation Coalition on behalf of all agriculture and forest products members thanks the Committee for your attention to and pursuit of transportation efficiency, which is fundamental to US agriculture viability.



Peter Friedmann Executive Director

Agriculture Transportation Coalition www.AgTrans.org Washington, DC 202-329 7040

Peter Friedmann led formation of the Agriculture Transportation Coalition when a number of agricultural exporters sought assistance in dealing with transportation challenges. The AgTC is now the voice for a broad cross-section of U.S. agriculture exporters, importers and their service providers who require competitive ocean, rail and truck transportation services in order to maintain and grow foreign marketshare.

The <u>Journal of Commerce</u> has declared the AgTC as "the principal voice of U.S. agriculture exporters in transportation policy." Members include not only agriculture exporters and importers, *including many pulse exporters*, but also agriculture trade associations, national check-off's, state commodity commissions."

As Executive Director of the AgTC, Peter annually conducts Ag Shipper Workshops around the country, and is a frequent speaker at major transportation conferences. He is one of the principal advocates of shipper interests before Federal and state agencies, Congress, ports and labor; instrumental in the drafting and passage of the Ocean Shipping Reform Act of 2022.

Peter, a native of Seattle, and graduate of the University of Washington Law School, served as Counsel for the United States Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation where he drafted the Ocean Shipping Act, Harbor Maintenance Fee/Trust Fund for port dredging, and other transport legislation.

Truth in Testimony Disclosure Form

In accordance with Rule XI, clause 2(g)(5)* of the *Rules of the House of Representatives*, witnesses are asked to disclose the following information. Please complete this form electronically by filling in the provided blanks.

Committee:
Subcommittee:
Hearing Date:
Hearing :
Witness Name:
Position/Title:
Witness Type: O Governmental O Non-governmental
Are you representing yourself or an organization? Oself Organization
If you are representing an organization, please list what entity or entities you are representing:
FOR WITNESSES APPEARING IN A NON-GOVERNMENTAL CAPACITY
Please complete the following fields. If necessary, attach additional sheet(s) to provide more information.
Are you a fiduciary—including, but not limited to, a director, officer, advisor, or resident agent—of any
organization or entity that has an interest in the subject matter of the hearing? If so, please list the name of
the organization(s) or entities.

Please list any federal grants or contracts (including subgrants or subcontracts) related to the hearing's subject matter that you, the organization(s) you represent, or entities for which you serve as a fiduciary have received in the past thirty-six months from the date of the hearing. Include the source and amount of each grant or contract.
Please list any contracts, grants, or payments originating with a foreign government and related to the hearing's subject that you, the organization(s) you represent, or entities for which you serve as a fiduciary have received in the past thirty-six months from the date of the hearing. Include the amount and country of origin of each contract or payment.
Please complete the following fields. If necessary, attach additional sheet(s) to provide more information.
☐ I have attached a written statement of proposed testimony.
☐ I have attached my curriculum vitae or biography.

- (5)(A) Each committee shall, to the greatest extent practicable, require witnesses who appear before it to submit in advance written statements of proposed testimony and to limit their initial presentations to the committee to brief summaries thereof.
- (B) In the case of a witness appearing in a non-governmental capacity, a written statement of proposed testimony shall include—
 (i) a curriculum vitae; (ii) a disclosure of any Federal grants or contracts, or contracts, or payments originating with a foreign government, received during the past 36 months by the witness or by an entity represented by the witness and related to the subject matter of the hearing; and (iii) a disclosure of whether the witness is a fiduciary (including, but not limited to, a director, officer, advisor, or resident agent) of any organization or entity that has an interest in the subject matter of the hearing.
- (C) The disclosure referred to in subdivision (B)(iii) shall include—(i) the amount and source of each Federal grant (or subgrant thereof) or contract (or subcontract thereof) related to the subject matter of the hearing; and (ii) the amount and country of origin of any payment or contract related to the subject matter of the hearing originating with a foreign government.
- (D) Such statements, with appropriate redactions to protect the privacy or security of the witness, shall be made publicly available in electronic form 24 hours before the witness appears to the extent practicable, but not later than one day after the witness appears.

^{*}Rule XI, clause 2(g)(5), of the U.S. House of Representatives provides: